## <span id="page-0-0"></span>The Hack VM II: Branching and memory COMSM1302 Overview of Computer Architecture

John Lapinskas, University of Bristol

## Labels and gotos in Hack VM

How do we handle loops and conditionals in the Hack VM?

How do we handle loops and conditionals in the Hack VM?

The same way as in assembly  $-$  with jumps, which we now call gotos as they need no longer correspond to only a single machine code instruction.

The syntax is:

- **label LABEL NAME declares a label at that point of the code.**
- $\bullet$  goto LABEL NAME jumps to that label from anywhere in the code.<sup>1</sup>
- if-goto LABEL\_NAME pops the stack and executes goto LABEL NAME if the result is non-zero (i.e. if it is not false).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Strictly speaking, the goto and label must be in the same function — see next week.

How do we handle loops and conditionals in the Hack VM?

The same way as in assembly  $-$  with jumps, which we now call gotos as they need no longer correspond to only a single machine code instruction.

The syntax is:

- **label LABEL NAME declares a label at that point of the code.**
- $\bullet$  goto LABEL NAME jumps to that label from anywhere in the code.<sup>1</sup>
- if-goto LABEL\_NAME pops the stack and executes goto LABEL NAME if the result is non-zero (i.e. if it is not false).

We should use if-goto in the same way that we would use D; JNE in assembly. The differences are:

- $\bullet$  The value we compare to zero is the top of the stack instead of D.
- We have proper logical operators gt, eq, lt, and, or and not built into the language to replace the various jump conditions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Strictly speaking, the goto and label must be in the same function  $-$  see next week.

With local, we can only push from or pop to memory addresses known before runtime. This can present problems.

Say we have an array stored in memory and want to access the local 0'th element of it. But e.g. push local (local 0) is not valid VM code!

With local, we can only push from or pop to memory addresses known before runtime. This can present problems.

Say we have an array stored in memory and want to access the local 0'th element of it. But e.g. push local (local 0) is not valid VM code!

Instead, we can use **pointer** and this, two special memory segments. The map from this addresses to physical RAM is not fixed in advance, but determined at run-time. We are guaranteed that:

> this 0 maps to RAM[pointer 0], this 1 maps to RAM [(pointer  $0 + 1$ ], this 2 maps to RAM [(pointer  $0$ ) + 2]

and so on.

We are guaranteed that this *i* maps to RAM[(pointer 0) + *i*] for all *i*.

We will still need to decide in advance which segments of physical memory will hold our array, just like with assembly. But if we have decided it will be stored in  $RAM[0x0800] - RAM[0x08FF]$  (say), then e.g.:

```
push constant 2048
push local 0
add // Stack now contains (local 0) + 0x0800
pop pointer 0 // this i now maps to (local 0) + 0x0800 + i
push this 0
```
will load the local 0'th element of the array onto the stack (counting from 0).

We are guaranteed that this *i* maps to RAM[(pointer 0) + *i*] for all *i*.

We will still need to decide in advance which segments of physical memory will hold our array, just like with assembly. But if we have decided it will be stored in  $RAM[0x0800] - RAM[0x08FF]$  (say), then e.g.:

```
push constant 2048
push local 0
add // Stack now contains (local 0) + 0x0800
pop pointer 0 // this i now maps to (local 0) + 0x0800 + i
push this 0
```
will load the local 0'th element of the array onto the stack (counting from 0).

Of course, if local 0 is 256 or more then we'll run into problems!

Our high-level language will handle this memory allocation automatically, but for now we do it manually. Life is suffering.

## Implementing I/O in Hack VM

For I/O, we can use the same trick to access RAM[0x4000–0x5FFF] for the screen and RAM[0x6000] for the keyboard... right?

## Implementing I/O in Hack VM

For I/O, we can use the same trick to access RAM[0x4000–0x5FFF] for the screen and RAM[0x6000] for the keyboard... right?

Yes, but annoyingly we can't use this for it. We'll see later that this has a special role in compiling abstract data types like C's structs, so it can't map to arbitrary segments of memory. This will make sense in week 11!

For now, you only need to know that the this segment can only be used to access RAM[0x0800–0x3FFF]. For anything outside that, we must instead use the **that** memory segment.

For I/O, we can use the same trick to access RAM[0x4000–0x5FFF] for the screen and RAM[0x6000] for the keyboard... right?

Yes, but annoyingly we can't use this for it. We'll see later that this has a special role in compiling abstract data types like C's structs, so it can't map to arbitrary segments of memory. This will make sense in week 11!

For now, you only need to know that the this segment can only be used to access RAM[0x0800–0x3FFF]. For anything outside that, we must instead use the **that** memory segment.

that behaves almost exactly like this. The only differences are:

- $\bullet$  The map is from that 0 to RAM[pointer 1] not RAM[pointer 0].
- **•** that can be used to access any address of physical RAM, not just RAM[0x0800–0x3FFF].

We'll discuss memory mapping in more detail next video.

 $\circ$  **static** and **argument** will behave differently to local in function calls, which we discuss next week. More detail then, but in short:

- $\circ$  **static** and **argument** will behave differently to local in function calls, which we discuss next week. More detail then, but in short:
	- At the start of a function call, local will be empty. Its contents will be discarded on a function return.

- $\circ$  **static** and **argument** will behave differently to local in function calls, which we discuss next week. More detail then, but in short:
	- At the start of a function call, local will be empty. Its contents will be discarded on a function return.
	- At the start of a function call, argument will hold the arguments of that call. It cannot be written to.

- $\circ$  **static** and **argument** will behave differently to local in function calls, which we discuss next week. More detail then, but in short:
	- At the start of a function call, local will be empty. Its contents will be discarded on a function return.
	- At the start of a function call, argument will hold the arguments of that call. It cannot be written to.
	- The contents of static persist between function calls. (It will be used later for static and global variables in our high-level language.)

- **static and argument** will behave differently to local in function calls, which we discuss next week. More detail then, but in short:
	- At the start of a function call, local will be empty. Its contents will be discarded on a function return.
	- At the start of a function call, argument will hold the arguments of that call. It cannot be written to.
	- The contents of static persist between function calls. (It will be used later for static and global variables in our high-level language.)
- **temp** behaves exactly like local, but is mapped to a much smaller area of memory. It's intended as "working space" for use by a compiler from a high-level language for compiling an individual instruction without needing to disrupt the contents of local.

<span id="page-17-0"></span>Recall our assembly program fill.asm, which filled every pixel of the screen black. While any key was held, the screen would instead be filled white. We implement the same program in Hack VM as fill.vm, for comparison. [See video for live coding and demonstration.]